

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/800,256	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ZAKAY-RONES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Brian Whiteman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1635	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Brian Whiteman.

 (2) Jeffrey Wolfson.
**Status of Application: Election/Restriction**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

47

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative about claims 47-52 for clarification on its dependency. Claims 47-52 are directed to nucleic acid delivery, whereas claim 45 is directed to polypeptide delivery. Confirmed with applicant's representative that claims 47-52 should depend from claim 46 instead of claim 45..